

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/688,341		10/17/2003	Frederick F. Kuhlman	DP-309978	2288		
22851	7590	03/20/2006		EXAMINER			
DELPHI M/C 480-		NOLOGIES, INC.		CHANG, RIC	CK KILTAE		
PO BOX				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TROY, M	MI 4800	7		3729	3729		
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2000	DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/688,341	KUHLMAN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Rick K. Chang	3729	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	•		
Period fo	or Reply			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material properties. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  sply be timely filed  IHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	7 October 2003		
		This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits is	
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nawn nom consideration.	•	
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
,	Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
		or election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
_ a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been i	eceived in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bure			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
•	<i></i>			
Attachmen	` '	🗖		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<b>_·</b>	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1: Fig. 4.

Species 2: Fig. 5.

Species 3: Fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. A telephone call was made to STEFAN V. CHMIELEWSKI on March 16, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3729

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

4. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any

Application/Control Number: 10/688,341 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP

2163.06.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The

examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC March 16, 2006